

**Board of Juvenile Justice  
Thursday, February 24, 2005  
11:00 a.m.**

**3408 Covington Highway  
2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Boardroom  
Decatur, Georgia 30032**

**OPENING REMARKS**

J. Daniel Shuman, Acting Chair

Mr. Shuman called to order the February 24, 2005 meeting of the Board of Juvenile Justice. He then acknowledged the audience and asked if they would introduce themselves and state their affiliation. After introductions, he stated that he would like to change the order of the meeting(s) to include the Pledge of Allegiance and a Moment of Silence. The Pledge was taken and a Moment of Silence was observed, after which he asked for Roll Call.

**Roll Call**

Lena Wilson took Roll Call.

**Board Members Present:** Michael L. Baugh (participating by speakerphone); Tommy Burgess; Lois Frank; Van R. Herrin; Elizabeth Lindsey; Judy Mecum; Edwin A. Risler; J. Daniel Shuman; Sandra H. Taylor; Mary E. Wilhite

**Advisory Board Members Present:** Judge Quintress Gilbert; Judge Ellen McElyea

**DJJ Staff Present:** Albert Murray, Commissioner; Spiro Amburn; Jack Catrett; Dr. Thomas L. Coleman; Yolande Collins; Ericka Davis; Nina Edidin; Gordon Fisher; Steve Herndon; Dr. Thomas O'Rourke; Bill Reilly; Rob Rosenbloom; Dr. Michelle Staples-Horne; Jimmy Taylor; Sher're Walton; Lena Wilson

**Others:** Jill Young Miller, Atlanta Journal/Constitution; Michelle Townes, Assistant Attorney General; Trish McCann, GPDSC; Amy Howell, SJDC; Angela St. Fleur, Jerusalem Baptist Church - Augusta

After Roll Call, Ms. Wilson announced that a quorum of the Board was not present. After additional members arrive, a motion to approve the minutes from the January 27, 2005 Meeting of the Board of Juvenile Justice would be solicited. At this time Chairman Shuman asked for the Commissioner's Report.

## **Commissioner's Comments**

Albert Murray, Commissioner

### **Introduction**

Commissioner Murray greeted Chairman Shuman, members of the Board and all others in attendance. He stated that his report would be very brief this month but he wanted to first take the opportunity to welcome three new members of the board who were appointed by Governor Perdue this month:

- ***The Honorable Ellen McElyea*** from Canton, GA will serve as one of DJJ's Judiciary Advisory Board members. Judge McElyea was appointed to the Board February 7, 2005. In January 2000, she was appointed to serve as Juvenile Court Judge of the City of Canton's Municipal Court. She is a member of the Lawyers' Foundation of Georgia, the Executive Council of the Georgia Council of Juvenile Court Judges, the National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges and the Cherokee County Chamber of Commerce. Judge McElyea has also served as a member of the Board of Governors of the State Bar of Georgia, and is past president of the Cherokee County Bar Association. Judge McElyea earned her undergraduate degree from Furman University and her Juris Doctorate degree from the University of Georgia. She and her husband, Bobby, have two children.
  
- ***Mr. Michael Lee Baugh*** from Thomasville, GA is an at large member replacing former Board Member Mary Linda Duncan. He was appointed on February 14, 2005. Mr. Baugh is a sales representative and internet manager for Roy Campbell Chevrolet. He served in the U.S. Air Force as a law enforcement specialist. Mr. Baugh is also active in the Thomasville-Thomas County Chamber of Commerce. He received his bachelor's degree from Columbus State University. Mr. Baugh and his wife, Michele, have two children.
  
- ***Mr. Van Ross Herrin*** from Waycross, GA represents the first congressional district, replacing Vi Bennett, and was appointed on February 21, 2005. Mr. Herrin is the general manager for Imagistics International Inc. He currently serves on the Brantley County Board of Education and the Long Range Planning Committee of Pleasant Valley Baptist Church in Waycross. Mr. Herrin is a former member of the Brantley County Development Board. He attended Armstrong State College and Valdosta State University. Mr. Herrin and his wife, Sherri, have two children.

Commissioner welcomed all of the new members to the Board and offered his assistance and that of his staff. He stated that we certainly want to also commend Mary Linda Duncan for her service to the Board, as well.

Commissioner Murray stated that along with having new board members, we also have a new Attorney representing the Department from the Attorney General's office. Michelle Townes replaces Mark Cicero who formerly represented the Department. Michelle received her law degree from Vanderbilt University and during law school interned with the Tennessee Attorney General, the Public Defender's office, and the District Attorney's office in Nashville. Michelle also spent a year advocating for youth in Vanderbilt's juvenile law clinic. Commissioner welcomed Ms. Townes and stated the he looks forward to working with her.

Continuing, Commissioner Murray also gave special thanks to Daniel Shuman and Mary Wilhite who were able to attend the Senate Judiciary Committee meeting in support of Senate Bill 134. He also acknowledged and thanked Lois Frank and others who wrote to the committee in support of the bill. He stated that despite the spirited discussion that took place, he believes we are going to prevail in our efforts to make this change. He indicated that we have amended SB 134 in response to the concerns expressed by the Judges. He stated that Spiro Amburn would provide our current status on the bill in the Legislative Update later in the meeting. He again expressed his appreciation to the Board for their support in this effort.

### **Operations Division**

- The Operations Division continues to work to move youth through the detention centers in order to keep below statewide capacity of 1,189. The population has started its spring seasonal increase and all efforts are being made to work with juvenile judges to move youth appropriately.

Commissioner stated that increases in the juvenile population are cyclical and we expect an increase in the population over the next few months. He added that we have planned for this increase to manage our population and to stay within our rated capacity.

- Deputy Commissioner Rob Rosenbloom held a successful forum for private providers of programs for youth placed outside the home. The Level of Care system that DHR and DJJ participate continues in its first year and a number of questions about our placement strategies were explained. There were over 150 participants and overall it was considered a successful meeting. Juvenile Judges were also invited to attend this meeting.
- Muscogee RYDC remains on track to open in mid March. An open house is planned for March 9, 2005.

Commissioner stated that he hopes Board members would be present and that they would receive an invitation to attend the opening of the facility.

## **Program Services Division**

### Campus Operations

Commissioner Murray stated that in November of 2004 the Department initiated a plan to “stratify” the youth populations at the male YDCs. The intent is to assign Committed and Short Term youth based on age and identified risks and needs. Youth are placed in facilities with like age groups unless the need for special services dictates otherwise. He stated that this change in the initial placement criteria is allowing the facilities to focus their education, programs, and processes on a core age group.

As of today (February 24, 2005), he added, the stratification of YDC populations is complete at all of the Short Term campuses and for the Superior Court youth.

The stratification of the committed youth is on-going. All new placements are made using the stratification criteria. Some youth are being allowed to finish their time at their YDC rather than being moved. A few youth are completing programs prior to being moved. At this time there are 12 youth who will be moved. The stratification is expected to be complete by March 31, 2005.

Commissioner stated that this an attempt on the Department’s part to better serve the youth by placing them in a more secure environment.

### Office of Behavioral Health

Commissioner announced that Rick Harrison, Director of the Office of Behavioral Health, is participating on the Governor’s Cooperative Agreement Advisory Council as part of the State Incentive Planning Grant. He stated that the focus of this project is to develop a comprehensive statewide substance abuse prevention and early intervention strategy, and to document the states’ capacity to deliver science-based prevention programming.

The Office of Behavioral Health continues to focus much of it resources and attention on insuring that mental health services are being provided as required by the Memorandum of Agreement with the U.S. Department of Justice. Central office staff as well as all the regional coordinators are working in the facilities to identify any outstanding issues as well as to assist with developing processes that will result in success.

Three additional YDC staff completed the Prevention Research Institute’s, “Prime for Life” instructor certification requirements in January. This certification will allow these staff to provide the substance abuse intervention program “Prime for Life, Under 21” in the YDC. We will continue working with the Institute and selected YDC staff over the

next few months in order to build the capacity to deliver the program in all of our YDC's.

### Office of Health Care Services

Commissioner announced that Pat Tweedy, Director of the Office of Health Care Services is retiring effective February 28, 2005. He stated that Mrs. Tweedy has been a valuable and important member of our DJJ staff and she will be missed. DJJ wishes her the best and we hope she has a wonderful and relaxing retirement. He stated that earlier in the week a retirement reception was held for her.

### MOA Update

Commissioner stated that he wanted to provide an update on the Memorandum of Agreement to the Board and for the benefit new Board members who are not as familiar with the process. He informed them that the Department has been under oversight of the U.S. Department of Justice since 1998, and based on a number of citations found at that time, we have worked really hard, as a Department, to address all of those citations. He stated that this effort began long before his appointment. He continued that he has tried to give it the priority that it deserves. He added that it is important that we complete this process this year (2005) so that we can operate the Department without the oversight of the U.S. Department of Justice.

Continuing, he stated that on February 17, 2005, he and other DJJ staff members participated in a meeting with staff of the Department of Justice, Mrs. Judy Preston and Mr. Joseph Sperber. Mrs. Preston introduced Mr. Sperber as the new Federal MOA Monitor, replacing Mr. Ryan Rainey who had recently resigned. The purpose of the meeting was to meet and discuss the status of the Verification Tours and any concerns of DJJ and DOJ. Commissioner Murray stated that he believes it was a productive meeting which gave him and others an opportunity to get a better feel on where the DOJ is at this time and how we might best proceed.

Commissioner stated that Mr. Sperber explained that his plans are to continue with the tours which were scheduled by Mr. Rainey, and that within a week, he hopes to have a schedule which will indicate the facilities to be visited as well as the dates of the visits. He added that Mr. Sperber also plans to seek the assistance of experts in the area of Mental Health, Medical, and Protection from Harm which is consistent with Mr. Rainey's process. He indicated that Mr. Sperber is planning to approach the same experts that Mr. Rainey was using. He stated that it is his expectation that when the time comes for the tours, we will be ready. He stated that this effort will remain a priority until we reach a point where we are able to be removed from oversight.

### **Conclusion**

At this point Commissioner Murray concluded his remarks and opened the floor to the Board for questions or comments.

Hearing none, Chairman Shuman stated that there were some housekeeping concerns he need to address. He stated that since the beginning of the meeting Mary Wilhite, Tommy Burgess and Elizabeth Lindsey had arrived to the meeting and there was now a quorum of the Board present to vote on the minutes. He was informed that Judge Quintress Gilbert had also arrived.

Mr. Shuman stated that another concern was that at the last meeting he felt it was a little distracting when people were getting up to fix their plates during the meeting and asked that they not do that. He stated that, since it was a working lunch, he would call for a break so that all could partake of the refreshments at once.

He then called for a motion to approve the minutes from the February 24, 2005 meeting. Dr. Ed Risler stated that he would like to propose a change. He indicated that at the beginning of the minutes, Mr. Shuman's title was listed as Acting Vice-Chair and should be changed to reflect his status as Acting Chair. Sandra Taylor indicated that Ms. Mecum's name was substituted for her name in the discussion. Mr. Shuman stated that it would be helpful if each member identify themselves when they speak. He assured that the changes were noted.

For the benefit of those members who recently arrived, Commissioner Murray informed them that there were newly appointed Board members present, including Michael L. Baugh from Thomasville that was participating by speakerphone. Also, two other members, Van R. Herrin of Waycross and Judge Ellen McElyea of Canton were in attendance. Mr. Shuman then acknowledged the arrival of Lois Frank

Mr. Shuman iterated his request for a motion to approve the minutes. The Motion was made by Ed Risler, seconded by Mary Wilhite and carried.

At this point Mr. Shuman called for committee reports. Ms. Frank of the Education and Staff Development Committee indicated that she was not aware that a report was expected and that she was not prepared as their committee chair was not present. There was no response to the call for a report from the Finance Committee. Ericka Davis, Director of Public Affairs informed Mr. Shuman that Mary Linda Duncan was previously the Chair of the Education and Staff Development Committee, but her term had expired and she is no longer a member of the Board. She stated that another committee member would need to be appointed. Mr. Shuman asked about the procedure for doing this. Ms. Davis informed him that the member is appointed by the Board Chair. He stated that he would address this issue later.

Mr. Shuman called for a report from the Institutional Management Committee. Dr. Risler responded that he would defer his report to Spiro Amburn who will give an update on the legislative initiatives before the General Assembly that would cover his committee's activities concerning their support of Senate Bill 134.

## **Legislative Update**

Spiro Amburn, Director  
Legislative Services

Mr. Amburn stated that he has communicated as much as possible by email to keep the Board informed of the activities at the Capitol but, seeing as how it changes by the hour, he would give an update as best he can.

He stated that today is day 24 out of 40 for the session and Commissioner Murray touched on Senate Bill 134 in his comments which was before the Senate Judiciary Committee last week. The Committee decided to table the bill and hear it again on Tuesday this week. He stated that an article by Jill Miller, which was a summary of that committee meeting, appeared in the AJC this morning. He stated that the first day of hearings involved about two hours of testimony from DJJ and the Juvenile Court Judges that were present. Many of the judges that were present had concerns about DJJ's original proposal, particularly, in their opinion it would take away their discretion to sentence a youth to the 90-day program. He stated that he believes a lot of what the judges had to say resonated with the Committee. Subsequently, they had to come up with language that both DJJ and the judges could agree on. They worked with the Executive Council to craft some substitute language but there were individual judges who were in opposition to the substitute language, as well. He stated that we are still not 100% on the language but we are close. A meeting is scheduled for early next week with the Executive Council. He stated that we can work out some of the fine details of the substitute where we can then go forward in unison when the bill goes before the full Senate. He stated that this could occur as soon as next Wednesday.

Mr. Amburn referenced the handout on SB 134 pointing out the difference between the original and the substitute language. He stated that, essentially, it leaves the short term program in place and allows the judge access to a secure bed, but it reduces the maximum from 90 days to 60 days and requires credit for time served. He added that this process helps DJJ with bed space management. He stated that this also allows the court access to a secure bed for a youth adjudicated for a felony or a misdemeanor of a high and aggravated nature involving bodily injury or harm. It does not allow access for a status offender or a non-violent misdemeanor.

He stated that to echo Commissioner Murray's comments in the article, it is a reasonable compromise that does address the concerns of the judges and does address some of DJJ's concerns from a budget standpoint, and also from the standpoint of not placing non-violent offenders into a secure bed facility.

Mr. Amburn stated that another very important aspect of the bill is that the original language would have allowed the Department to free up about \$10 million dollars to redirect into community-based programming. He stated that this is now off the table because the substitute version does not free up enough bed space to allow the Department

to shift that money into community-based programming, but it does allow us to keep those facilities open that we talked about closing with the original bill.

He stated that he believes we are nearing a formal compromise with the judges and that the bill has passed out of the Senate Committee and will go to the full Senate for a vote, possibly Wednesday, which will give them time to work out an agreement with the judges.

At this point he asked if there were questions on SB 134 before moving forward. He thanked the Board for their presence at the meeting, calls and emails in support of the Bill. He stated that it really does make a difference when Legislators hear from those who serve in important positions such as the Board's.

Elizabeth Lindsey asked if the Department would lose the \$10 million dollars from the budget that we would have reapportioned. Mr. Amburn responded that our understanding is that the way it is written into the budget, it is contingent upon legislation that would eliminate the short-term program, which the substitute version does not. He stated that we should be OK in that regard, but we would need to monitor it to make sure they are aware.

Dr. Risler injected that he commends Mr. Amburn on his hard work with this process. Commissioner added that we continue to have an interest in moving toward the development of local community-based programs and we also understand the need and meaning of compromise. He stated that we have done what we needed to do with SB 134 at this time but by no means have we given up on the need to develop programs for offenders who don't need to be in our facilities. He stated that, although he cannot speak for all the judges, he sensed that we want similar things it's just the matter of process or methodology of how we get there. These discussions will resume as early as Monday morning, he stated.

Mr. Amburn agreed that we have come a long way and broken down a lot of barriers and feel like we are in a position to where we can work together on this issue.

Continuing, Mr. Amburn referenced two other handouts on his presentation regarding Senate Bills 135 and 136 that have been introduced. He stated that these bills have not moved through the chamber as yet but he wanted to provide them with a copy of the Bills and some background on them.

He stated that he was just informed that SB 136 would go before the Judiciary Committee that afternoon. He iterated his earlier statement that these things move quickly and we have to be able to react. He stated a full hearing would be held at 3:30 in Room 307 at LOB that afternoon.

He reported that other items of interest to DJJ include:

- House Resolution 48 which renames the Clayton RYDC in honor of Judge Martha Glaze. This has passed the House Committee and is going to the full House for a vote.
- Senate Resolution 161 creates the Juvenile Code Rewrite Study Commission. The bill has been formerly introduced and has passed the Senate Judiciary Committee and Commissioner Murray would be a voting member on that commission.

Mr. Amburn concluded his remarks.

Commissioner noted that copies of all meeting material would be sent to Mr. Baugh.

At this point Mr. Shuman asked for a Motion to adjourn the regular meeting of the DJJ Board and convene the meeting of the DJJ Board of Education. Ed Risler motioned to adjourn and Elizabeth Lindsey seconded the motion.

### **Update: DJJ Board of Education**

Dr. Thomas O'Rourke, Associate Superintendent

Dr. O'Rourke stated that the areas that would be covered in his presentation would be Student Population, Staffing, and the Annual Education Conference.

Dr. O'Rourke stated that our education program is divided into three distinct portions: regular education, special education and vocational education. All teachers at all sites are certified and approximately 40% of our population is special education. Special education teachers are allocated on a 12:1 ratio. He stated that the program operates under IDEA (Individuals with Disabilities Education Act) guidelines that mandate the provision of education. He added that we try to employ as many special education teachers as possible with interrelated certification. Regular education staff is allocated on a 15:1 ratio. These staffing ratios are used for each site.

Vocational programs are offered in all of the long term facilities. He stated that we contract with technical colleges with the Department of Technical and Adult Education, such as Heart of Georgia in Eastman. He stated that this partnership is a wonderful tool and resource for us because we get the best vocational teachers in the state, the same teachers who are teaching at the technical colleges. We contract with them and they come on site and provide the vocational programming that meets the needs of our student population.

He stated that a few years ago an employability study was conducted to identify the fields that would employ most of our youth. As a result, courses offered include construction, collision repair, auto mechanics, family & consumer science, horticulture and computer information classes.

Dr. O'Rourke asked the Board to reference the FTE handout he distributed. He then introduced Jack Catrett, Director of Special Education Services, who would brief the Board on the student population with exceptionalities.

Mr. Catrett stated that the handout that they are reviewing is the actual December 1<sup>st</sup> FTE count that is submitted once a year to the federal government for compliance and funding. The count is broken down by school site and the different exceptionalities of each. He explained the differences between the MID (mild intellectual disability – IQ range: 69-55); MOID (moderate intellectual disability – IQ range: 54-35); EBD/SED (emotional behavior disorder/severe emotional behavior disorder); SLD (specific learning disability); and, OHI (other health impaired). He indicated that these terms are used in the schools but if the medical terms were used they would be described as mild or moderate mental retardation. He asked if everyone understood the descriptions at this point. Lois Frank inquired if these were standard ranges of disorder. Mr. Catrett responded in the affirmative and added that they are used to determine the eligibility for special education.

Continuing, Mr. Catrett stated that the EBD/SED made up the largest part of the special education population. He stated that these are the kids who act out and have the aggressive tendencies toward other adolescents and staff. He indicated that what makes them different from the other kids is that their behavior is triggered by an emotional issue.

The SLD youth usually is of normal or exceptional intellect but lags far behind their other intellectual abilities in one particular area, whether it is the written or spoken word, math, English, etc.

The OHI youth are primarily the ADD/ADHD (attention deficit disorder/attention deficit hyperactivity disorder) youth.

The remaining category is 'Other'. This group, he explained, includes conditions such as traumatic brain injury, hearing impaired, etc.

Judge Gilbert asked if the MID intelligence quotient was greater than the moderate. Mr. Catrett responded in the affirmative.

Other categories:

- SST – The Student Support Team helps those students who are referred as needing extra help. Parents are asked and encouraged to attend these sessions either in person or they can participate by speakerphone.
- Section 504 (Americans With Disabilities Act) – Any child with a disability that is not covered by any area of IDEA falls into this category.

Mr. Catrett then referenced the second page of the FTE count, pointing out the totals of each category. He indicated that these totals are reflective of the number of children and types of disabilities served in our schools.

Mr. Van Herrin asked if class procedure was the same as in regular school systems, where there is a special education teacher and a Para pro in the classroom with the twelve students, or if the instructor is in the classroom alone with the students. Mr. Catrett responded that all of our special education teachers are certified and ninety-five percent (95%) of them are interrelated, meaning that they can serve EBD, MID, SLD, etc. He stated that we meet the strict ratios that the Department of Education mandates for the number of kids in a classroom. He added that although we staff on a 12:1 ratio, the number of kids put with a special education teacher is based on their disability, e.g., a classroom containing kids with behavior disorders is staffed 6:1. Dr. O'Rourke injected that in some instances instructors (Para pros) are placed in the classroom with the special education teacher depending on the numbers, the situation and the site. Mr. Catrett stated that we have a continuum of services (self-contained, pull out resources, collaborative, etc.) and basically offer every thing that a public school system does, including a speech program.

Ms. Sandra Taylor inquired about the correlation of these numbers to the general public school systems across the state. Mr. Catrett responded that there is approximately 9% of special education students in the public school system, with another 5-6% in SST or 504 or in that process. He stated that many of the SST students in public school are not carried over to being special education students at the same rate as kids in our system. For clarity, Ms. Taylor asked Mr. Catrett if what he meant was that the regular school system had 9-10% of students in special education while our system had approximately 40%. He responded in the affirmative.

Dr. O'Rourke stated that some kids are very low functioning, some below an IQ of 33. These kids are immediately referred to behavior health to ensure that the proper educational programming and proper setting is maintained for that child. He stated that it is a very challenging situation that we have in regards to providing these services but we meet all the state and federal guidelines. With the help of special monitors that provide technical assistance to the teachers, they ensure that students get the help that they need.

At this point, Dr. O'Rourke referenced the handout on the Education Conference that will be held on March 7-8, 2005 in Macon at the CentrePlex. He extended an invitation to the Board to attend. A matrix of the conference activities was also included in the handouts.

Dr. O'Rourke concluded his remarks and asked for questions or comments. Mr. Herrin inquired on IDEA according to the funding, the federal government would provide 40%, and state government would provide 40%, and the local schools systems would pick up the 20%. However, he stated, the federal government has never provided the 40%. He stated that with this being a local school system with a tax base and property, he asked who would pick up the missing percentage of funds not provided by the federal government. Dr. O'Rourke responded that we get a lump sum of money for the kids in

our programs. We do not identify kids through an FTE count for regular education funding because of the rapid rate they are entering and leaving the system. He stated that we get federal funding from another pool of funding called Title VI-B. Commissioner injected that because of our unique situation we need that flexibility.

Lois Frank wanted to know how we compare percentage wise to other states in terms of special needs kids. She asked if it was typical of other juvenile justice systems to have 37%. Dr. O'Rourke responded in the affirmative.

Commissioner stated that he questions whether a youth with an IQ below 33 is better served in a correctional environment or a mental health environment.

Mr. Shuman stated that this completes Dr. O'Rourke's comments and asked for a motion to adjourn the meeting of the DJJ Board of Education and reconvene the regular meeting of the Board. Sandra Taylor motioned to adjourn the meeting and the motion was seconded by Mary Wilhite and carried.

At this point Mr. Shuman called for a break before reconvening to the regular meeting.

### **VIDEO: Georgia Week in Review**

Ericka Davis, Director of Public Affairs, helped member Michael Baugh, who was participating by speakerphone, to navigate through the Internet to access the video that would be viewed by those in attendance at the meeting.

The video was of an interview conducted by Susan Hoffman of GPTV, of DJJ Commissioner Albert Murray and Commissioner James Donald of the Georgia Department of Corrections. Commissioner Murray spoke about juvenile justice issues and the program featured the 'Just Read' program at the DeKalb Regional Youth Detention Center. He also spoke about partnering with Mr. Donald on ways to prevent youth from entering the adult system.

### **Chair's Comments**

J. Daniel Shuman, Acting Chair

Mr. Shuman stated before adjourning there were a few dates he wanted to share with the Board. Along with the Education Conference in Macon on March 7-8<sup>th</sup>, he cited March 9<sup>th</sup> as the date of the dedication ceremony of the Aaron Cohn Regional Youth Detention Center in Columbus, Georgia. Also, March 24<sup>th</sup> was cited as the next date for the meeting of the Board of Juvenile Justice. The meeting would be held in tandem with the opening of the Muscogee YDC in Columbus. He also informed the Board that the Warden of

Reidsville State Prison has invited the Board to hold its May 26, 2005 meeting at his facility.

Mr. Shuman reminded the new members to provide their email addresses and other contact information to Ericka Davis.

Ms. Davis notified the new members that they would need to have a photo taken for their official ID badge.

Dr. Risler asked if the new members had had an opportunity to review the Board committees in order to determine which committee they would like to participate on.

Hearing no other questions or comments, Mr. Shuman asked for a motion to adjourn. The motion was made by Mary Wilhite, seconded by Tommy Burgess and carried.

---

J. Daniel Shuman, Acting Chair  
Board of Juvenile Justice

---

Albert Murray  
Commissioner

---

Dr. Ed Risler, Acting Secretary  
Board of Juvenile Justice